## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Original Application No. 9/2014 And M.A. No. 79/2014

Safal Bharat Guru Parampara V/s State of Punjab & Ors.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. P. JYOTHIMANI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. G.K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Applicant / Appellant :Mr.P.K.Rana,Adv., and

Mr. Ajeyabhardwaj, Adv. & Ms.

Sangita Singh Singh, Adv.

Respondent No. 6

:Mr. M. Dutta, Adv.

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item Nos. 2 & 3	Service is complete in respect of all the Respondents.
February 19,	The learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant would
2014	submit that after the service of notice which was stated to have
1	been effected on 23.01.2014, the Government has hurriedly
	issued demand letters for 17,000 tube-wells connection.
100	According, to the counsel such a hurried act is not only the
60	dis-obedience of the pendency of the matter before the Tribunal
- 2	but also it has tendency to affect the water level which will result
	in environmental disaster.
	It is unfortunate that all the respondents No. 1 to 5 have
	no proper response except some of the officials of the Respondent
PA	Nos. 3, 4 & 5 seeking time for filing reply.
	The Chief Secretary of the State as well as the Principal
	Secretary having received notice have failed to either appear
	before the Tribunal through a responsible officer or represented
	through a Counsel. This only shows the lethargic attitude of the
	Government authorities in this case. We are compelled to make
	such a comment due to the reason that in this application, the
	applicant has raised a substantial issue about not only the water

level but also planting of the Eucalyptus trees. The Government owes an explanation as to under what circumstances decision taken to plant Eucalyptus trees, and what are the purposes and whether such planting of Eucalyptus trees are likely to affect the water level as well as the environment.

In such view of the matter we direct the Chief Secretary of the State of Punjab to appear in person on the next date of hearing, failing which coercive steps will be taken. The other Respondents shall in the meantime file their reply. Respondent No. 6 is represented by the Counsel and he seeks time for filing reply.

Considering the necessity of having the Agriculture Ministry of the Government of India as a party in this Application, we implead the Union of India represented by the Ministry of Agriculture through its Secretary, New Delhi as Respondent no.

7. Registry to make necessary amendments in this regard.

The applicant shall file amended Memo of Parties.

Issue Notice to the newly added parties by registered post/acknowledgment due and Dasti as well. Notice returnable by the next date of hearing.

Stand over to 5th March, 2014.

(Dr. P. Jyothimani)	JM
, (M.S. Nambiar)	JM
(Dr. G.K. Pandey)	EM
(Prof. A.R. Yousuf)	EM
, (Ranian Chatteriee)	EM